

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/656,446	09/05/2003	Steven K. Coulthard	16217-002001	8480	
26181 7590 05/31/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICH PO BOX 1022	HARDSON P.C.		MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/656,446	COULTHARD, STEVEN K.
Examiner	Art Unit
Dionne Walls Mayes	1731

Advisory Action	10/656,446	COULTHARD, STEV	/EN K.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addı	ess			
		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		: FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	cause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	, ,,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	. ^			
		Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	Y halps_			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims in such a manner as to change the scope of said, therefore, raising new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.